

OUTGOING
TELEGRAM

INDICATE: ☐ COLLECT
☐ CHARGE TO

Department of State

UNCLASSIFIED

00720



1959 APR 2 PM 12 25

SENT TO: Ambassador BORN (PRIORITY) 2310

32-L RPTD INFO: Ambassador PARIS (PRIORITY) 3673

EUR Ambassador PARIS USCEUR USRO Thurston and West (PRIORITY)

RMR Ambassador MOSCOW (PRIORITY) 1592

BS Ambassador LONDON (PRIORITY) 8746

W USER BERLIN (PRIORITY) 665

G LIMIT DISTRIBUTION

SP QUADRIPARTITE TALKS April 1

C Afternoon session Four Foreigners devoted almost entirely to discussion

SAE of (a) Joint communique; (b) Agreed minute, instruction to Working Group;

L (c) Report to MAC on Western Position on European security, German

INR reunification and Berlin; (d) Report to MAC on Contingency Planning.

H Communique largely non-substantive. Working Group to reconvene in

PK London April 13, draft report by April 25 for consideration by Four Foreigners
in Paris April 29.

Re Minute British sought unsuccessfully to leave open possibility
that security measures need not be linked to German reunification.

Compromise language was agreed which maintained link. French indicated
had not made up minds on concept of special security area which they felt
too much like Rapacki Plan. Germans objected to para. which discussed

Shaded by

EUR/GER: JEFFREY FARLAND/bik 4/1/59

Telegraphic transmission and

classification approved by

C - O. Frederick Reinhardt

Classified

EUR/GER - Martin J. Hillenbrand

8/8-RO - Mr. Dunnigan

UNLESS "UNCLASSIFIED"
REPRODUCTION FROM THIS
COPY IS PROHIBITED.

UNCLASSIFIED

Electrostatic reproduction made
for preservation purposes by the
Eisenhower Library for replacement
of a deteriorating manuscript item.

DECLASSIFIED
Authority: NLR 89-22-46
By: 242 NLE Date: 7/10/91

SECRET

UNCLASSIFIED



(originally proposed by French) suggested Working Group study application of limitations of protocols to Brussels Treaty to reunified Germany. Para. was dropped. Germans had no objection to discussion this question by Working Group but did not want it mentioned in Minute. Courve said linking of discussion general disarmament and German reunification made Western package proposal less negotiable. Subjects might be discussed simultaneously but should not be linked. Acting Secretary pointed out link partly based on fact that if USSR agreed to principle of reunification more rapid progress on general disarmament would be possible.

Lengthy discussion of proposed Report to NAC on Western Position resulted in ~~minutes~~ (to be ~~presented~~ April 2). agreed text. ~~presented~~ orally by Lloyd ~~presented~~ Problem largely one of specific language and of how much to tell NATO. At one point, however, Lloyd suggested possibility be considered of putting forward Stage I of reunification plan alone if Russians rejected remainder. Suggestion opposed by 3 other Ministers. Lloyd agreed we should put package forward as first position. Wanted only to know what West would do if Russians reject package, as he felt likely. Courve objected to giving NATO any fall-back positions at this time because of danger of leaks. Did not exclude consideration of fall-backs later, principally in return for concessions on Berlin. Germans objected to anything limited to Stage I which did not contain timetable specifying when next Stage to take effect.

Discussion of question Italian participation being reported separate cable.

HERTER
Acting

HERTER

UNCLASSIFIED